UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FORT MYERS DIVISION

Ν	Λ	Α		IR	F	F	N	Λ	Λ	\cap	R	A'	٧/	F	C.
	VI.	/ ۱	_	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	◟	_	1 1	- 11	V I '	\smile	1 \	, v	v	_	v.

Plaintiff.

v. Case No.: 2:18-cv-596-FtM-38NPM

METROPOLITAN TILE & MARBLE, INC.,

Defendant.

ORDER¹

Before the Court is United States Magistrate Judge Nicholas P. Mizell's Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). (Doc. 44). Judge Mizell recommends granting the parties' Joint Motion for Approval of Settlement (Doc. 43) and approving their FLSA Settlement Agreement (Doc. 43-1) "as a fair and reasonable resolution of a bona fide dispute of the parties' FLSA issues." (Doc. 44 at 6 (internal quotation marks omitted)). The parties filed a Joint Notice of Non-Objection, stating they do not object and asking the Court to approve the R&R. (Doc. 45). So the matter is ripe.

A district judge "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Williams v. Wainwright, 681 F.2d 732, 732 (11th Cir. 1982). Without a specific objection, the judge need not review factual findings de novo. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Garvey v. Vaughn, 993 F.2d 776, 779 n.9 (11th Cir. 1993). But the district court reviews

¹ Disclaimer: Documents hyperlinked to CM/ECF are subject to PACER fees. By using hyperlinks, the Court does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide, nor does it have any agreements with them. The Court is also not responsible for a hyperlink's availability and functionality, and a failed hyperlink does not affect this Order.

legal conclusions de novo, even without an objection. Cooper-Houston v. S. Ry., 37 F.3d

603, 604 (11th Cir. 1994).

After a careful, complete, and independent examination of the file, the Court

accepts and adopts Judge Mizell's R&R in full.

Accordingly, it is now

ORDERED:

1. The Report and Recommendation (Doc. 44) is ACCEPTED and ADOPTED

and the findings incorporated herein.

a. The parties' Joint Motion for Approval of Settlement and Dismissal of the

Case with Prejudice (Doc. 43) is **GRANTED**.

b. The FLSA Settlement Agreement (Doc. 43-1) is APPROVED as a "fair

and reasonable resolution of a bona fide dispute" of the parties' FLSA

issues.

c. The parties request for the Court to retain jurisdiction over enforcement

of the Settlement Agreement is **DENIED**.

2. This case is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

3. The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to enter judgment, terminate any pending motions or

deadlines, and close the file.

DONE and **ORDERED** in Fort Myers, Florida this 6th day of March, 2020.

Copies: All Parties of Record

2